arXiv:1606.01339v3 [hep-th] 4 Mar 2017

A no-go theorem for the n-twistor description of a massive particle

Satoshi Okano[1, 2, *] and Shinichi Deguchi[1, 2, †]

[1] *Institute of Quantum Science, College of Science and Technology, Nihon University, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 101-8308, Japan*

[2] *Department of Quantum Science and Technology, Graduate School of Science and Technology, Nihon University, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 101-8308, Japan*

(Dated: March 7, 2017)

Abstract

It is proved that the n-twistor expression of a particle's four-momentum vector reduces, by a unitary transformation, to the two-twistor expression for a massive particle or the one-twistor expression for a massless particle. Therefore the *genuine* n-twistor description of a massive particle in four-dimensional Minkowski space fails for the case $n \geq 3$.

* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: okano@phys.cst.nihon-u.ac.jp

† E-mail: deguchi@phys.cst.nihon-u.ac.jp

I. INTRODUCTION

About 40 yeas ago, attempts to describe massive particles and their associated internal symmetries were made by Penrose, Perjés, and Hughston within the framework of twistor theory [1–6]. To describe a massive particle in four-dimensional Minkowski space, $\mathbf{M}$, they introduced two or more [i.e., $n(\in \mathbb{N}+1)$] independent twistors and their dual twistors

$$Z_i^A = (\omega_i^\alpha, \pi_{i\dot{\alpha}})\,, \qquad \bar{Z}_A^i = (\bar{\pi}_\alpha^i, \bar{\omega}^{i\dot{\alpha}}) \tag{1.1}$$

($A = 0, 1, 2, 3; \alpha = 0, 1; \dot{\alpha} = \dot{0}, \dot{1}$) distinguished by the index i ($i = 1, 2, \ldots n$). Here, $\bar{\pi}_\alpha^i$ and $\bar{\omega}^{i\dot{\alpha}}$ denote the complex conjugates of the two-component spinors $\pi_{i\dot{\alpha}}$ and ω_i^α, respectively: $\bar{\pi}_\alpha^i := \overline{\pi_{i\dot{\alpha}}}$, $\bar{\omega}^{i\dot{\alpha}} := \overline{\omega_i^\alpha}$. The spinors ω_i^α and $\pi_{i\dot{\alpha}}$ are related by

$$\omega_i^\alpha = i z^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \pi_{i\dot{\alpha}}\,, \tag{1.2}$$

where $z^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$ are coordinates of a point in complexified Minkowski space, $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{M}$. It was shown in Refs. [1–6] that the massive particle system described by n twistors possesses the internal symmetry specified by an inhomogeneous extension of $SU(n)$, denoted by $ISU(n)$. Penrose, Perjés, and Hughston proposed the idea of identifying the $SU(2)$ [or $ISU(2)$] symmetry in the two-twistor system with the symmetry for leptons, and the $SU(3)$ [or $ISU(3)$] symmetry in the three-twistor system with the symmetry for hadrons.

Long after Penrose, Perjés, and Hughston made their attempts, Lagrangian mechanics of a massive spinning particle in $\mathbf{M}$ has been formulated in terms of twistors, and it has been studied until quite recently [7–17]. In almost all these studies [7–15, 17], only the two-twistor description of a massive particle is conventionally adopted without clarifying the reason why the $n(\geq 3)$-twistor description is not employed. Under such circumstances, Routh and Townsend showed that only the two-twistor formulation can successfully describe a massive particle in $\mathbf{M}$ [16]. (See also the note added in Sec. 3.)

As can be seen in Refs. [1–6], the n-twistor expression of a particle's four-momentum vector is given by

$$p_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{\pi}_\alpha^i \pi_{i\dot{\alpha}} \equiv \bar{\pi}_\alpha^i \pi_{i\dot{\alpha}}\,. \tag{1.3}$$

(The expression (1.3) has recently been exploited to realize massive representations of the Poincaré algebra [18]. In this study, the massive representations are actually considered only

in the case $n = 2$.) By using Eq. (1.3), the squared mass $m^2 = p_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}p^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$ can be written as

$$m^2 = \bar{\pi}^i_\alpha \pi_{i\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\pi}^{j\alpha} \pi^{\dot{\alpha}}_j , \tag{1.4}$$

where $\bar{\pi}^{i\alpha} := \epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\bar{\pi}^i_\beta$ and $\pi^{\dot{\alpha}}_i := \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\pi_{i\dot{\beta}}$ ($\epsilon^{01} = \epsilon^{\dot{0}\dot{1}} = 1$). In Lagrangian mechanics mentioned above, Eq. (1.4) with $n = 2$, or its equivalent expression

$$\epsilon^{ij}\pi_{i\dot{\alpha}}\pi^{\dot{\alpha}}_j - \sqrt{2}me^{i\varphi} = 0 \, , \tag{1.5a}$$

$$\epsilon_{ij}\bar{\pi}^i_\alpha \bar{\pi}^{j\alpha} - \sqrt{2}me^{-i\varphi} = 0 \tag{1.5b}$$

($\epsilon^{12} = \epsilon_{12} = 1$) with a real parameter φ [13, 14, 17], is incorporated into a generalization of the Shirafuji action [19] with the aid of Lagrange multipliers.

Now, we present the following theorem:

Theorem: The n-twistor expression given in Eq. (1.3) reduces to the two-twistor expression

$$p_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \bar{\tilde{\pi}}^1_\alpha \tilde{\pi}_{1\dot{\alpha}} + \bar{\tilde{\pi}}^2_\alpha \tilde{\pi}_{2\dot{\alpha}} \, , \qquad \tilde{\pi}_{1\dot{\alpha}}\tilde{\pi}^{\dot{\alpha}}_2 \neq 0 \tag{1.6}$$

or the one-twistor expression

$$p_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = r\bar{\tilde{\pi}}^1_\alpha \tilde{\pi}_{1\dot{\alpha}} \, , \tag{1.7}$$

where $\tilde{\pi}_{i\dot{\alpha}} := U_i{}^j \pi_{j\dot{\alpha}}$, $\bar{\tilde{\pi}}^i_\alpha \big(:= \overline{\tilde{\pi}_{i\dot{\alpha}}} \big) = \bar{\pi}^j_\alpha U^\dagger{}_j{}^i$, and r is a real constant. Here, $U_i{}^j$ are the entries of an $n \times n$ unitary matrix U.

Hence the n-twistor system eventually turns out to be a two-twistor system representing a massive particle or a one-twistor system representing a massless particle. The purpose of the present paper is to prove this theorem. The theorem leads to the fact that the *genuine* $n(\geq 3)$-twistor description of a massive particle in $\mathbf{M}$ fails owing to the reduction from Eq. (1.3) to Eq. (1.6) or Eq. (1.7) caused by a unitary transformation. For this reason, the above-mentioned idea for the $SU(3)$ [or $ISU(3)$] symmetry cannot be accepted. In this sense, the theorem given here can be said to be a no-go theorem. Also, the theorem justifies the fact that in the context of a four-dimensional Minkowski background, only the two-twistor description (i.e., the case $n = 2$) has been considered in Lagrangian mechanics of a massive spinning particle formulated in terms of twistors.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we prove the theorem using a lemma provided there. Section III is devoted to remarks.

II. A PROOF OF THE THEOREM

We first provide a lemma necessary to prove the theorem.

Lemma: Let A be an $n \times n$ complex antisymmetric matrix, satisfying $A^{\mathrm{T}} = -A$. Then A can be transformed into its normal form, $\tilde{A}$, according to

$$\tilde{A} = UAU^{\mathrm{T}}, \tag{2.1}$$

where U is an $n \times n$ unitary matrix, satisfying $U^{\dagger} = U^{-1}$. If n is even, then the normal form $\tilde{A}$ is given by

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{a_1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ -\sqrt{a_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{a_2} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{a_2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \sqrt{a_{n/2}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -\sqrt{a_{n/2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.2}$$

and if n is odd, then $\tilde{A}$ is given by

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{a_1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\sqrt{a_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{a_2} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{a_2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \sqrt{a_{(n-1)/2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -\sqrt{a_{(n-1)/2}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.3}$$

Here, $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n/2}$ [or $a_{(n-1)/2}$] are eigenvalues of the Hermitian matrix $AA^{\dagger}$; hence it follows that these eigenvalues are non-negative real numbers.

In this paper, we do not give the proof of this lemma, because the proof can be seen in Refs. [20–23].

Proof of the theorem: In order to prove the theorem, let us consider the $n \times n$ complex matrix $\mathit{\Pi}$ consisting of the elements

$$\mathit{\Pi}_{ij} := \pi_{i\dot{\alpha}}\pi_j^{\dot{\alpha}}. \tag{2.4}$$

Because $\pi_{i\dot{\alpha}}\pi_j^{\dot{\alpha}} = -\pi_i^{\dot{\alpha}}\pi_{j\dot{\alpha}}$ holds, $\mathit{\Pi}$ turns out to be antisymmetric. According to the lemma, the matrix $\mathit{\Pi}$ can be transformed into its normal form

$$\tilde{\mathit{\Pi}} = U\mathit{\Pi}U^{\mathrm{T}} \tag{2.5}$$

by means of an appropriate $n \times n$ unitary matrix $U = (U_i{}^j)$. Expressions corresponding to Eqs. (2.2) and (2.3) are concisely given by

$$\tilde{\mathit{\Pi}}_{2r-1,j} = \delta_{2r,j}\tilde{\mathit{\Pi}}_{2r-1,2r}\,, \tag{2.6a}$$

$$\tilde{\mathit{\Pi}}_{2r,j} = \delta_{2r,j+1}\tilde{\mathit{\Pi}}_{2r,2r-1}\,, \tag{2.6b}$$

$$\begin{cases} r = 1, 2, \ldots, n/2\,, & \text{for } n \text{ even}\,, \\ r = 1, 2, \ldots, (n+1)/2\,, & \text{for } n \text{ odd}\,, \end{cases}$$

where $\tilde{\mathit{\Pi}}_{2r-1,2r}\big(= -\tilde{\mathit{\Pi}}_{2r,2r-1}\big)$ is the square root of an eigenvalue of $\mathit{\Pi}\mathit{\Pi}^{\dagger}$, so that it is a non-negative real number.

Substituting Eq. (2.4) into Eq. (2.5), we can express the elements of $\tilde{\mathit{\Pi}}$ as

$$\tilde{\mathit{\Pi}}_{ij} = \tilde{\pi}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\tilde{\pi}_j^{\dot{\alpha}}, \tag{2.7}$$

with the two-component spinor

$$\tilde{\pi}_{i\dot{\alpha}} := U_i{}^j\pi_{j\dot{\alpha}}\,. \tag{2.8}$$

Since U is unitary and hence invertible, Eq. (2.8) can be inversely solved as $\pi_{i\dot{\alpha}} = U^{\dagger}{}_i{}^j\tilde{\pi}_{j\dot{\alpha}}$. Substituting this and its complex conjugate into Eq. (1.3), we have

$$p_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{\tilde{\pi}}^i{}_{\alpha}\tilde{\pi}_{i\dot{\alpha}} \equiv \bar{\tilde{\pi}}^i{}_{\alpha}\tilde{\pi}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\,. \tag{2.9}$$

From Eqs. (2.6a) and (2.7), we see $\tilde{\pi}_{1\dot{\alpha}}\tilde{\pi}_k^{\dot{\alpha}} = 0$ $(k = 3, 4, \ldots, n)$. This implies that $\tilde{\pi}_{k\dot{\alpha}}$ $(k = 3, 4, \ldots, n)$ is proportional to $\tilde{\pi}_{1\dot{\alpha}}$, i.e.,

$$\tilde{\pi}_{k\dot{\alpha}} = \rho_{k1}\tilde{\pi}_{1\dot{\alpha}}\,, \qquad \rho_{k1} \in \mathbb{C}\,. \tag{2.10}$$

Substituting Eq. (2.10) into Eq. (2.7) and noting the property $\pi_{i\dot{\alpha}}\pi_i^{\dot{\alpha}} = 0$ (no sum with respect to i), we obtain

$$\tilde{\Pi}_{kl} = \tilde{\pi}_{k\dot{\alpha}}\tilde{\pi}_l^{\dot{\alpha}} = \rho_{k1}\rho_{l1}\tilde{\pi}_{1\dot{\alpha}}\tilde{\pi}_1^{\dot{\alpha}} = 0\,, \qquad k,l = 3,4,\ldots,n\,. \tag{2.11}$$

By using Eq. (2.10), $\tilde{\Pi}_{2k} = \tilde{\pi}_{2\dot{\alpha}}\tilde{\pi}_k^{\dot{\alpha}}$ $(k = 3,4,\ldots,n)$ can be written as

$$\tilde{\Pi}_{2k} = \rho_{k1}\tilde{\pi}_{2\dot{\alpha}}\tilde{\pi}_1^{\dot{\alpha}} = \rho_{k1}\tilde{\Pi}_{21}\,. \tag{2.12}$$

Equations (2.6b) and (2.12) together give

$$\rho_{k1}\tilde{\Pi}_{21} = 0\,, \qquad k = 3,4,\ldots,n\,, \tag{2.13}$$

with which we consider the following two cases: (a) $\tilde{\Pi}_{21} \neq 0$ and (b) $\tilde{\Pi}_{21} = 0$.

A. Case (a)

If $\tilde{\Pi}_{21} \neq 0$, then it follows that $\rho_{k1} = 0$ for any arbitrary k. Accordingly, Eq. (2.10) becomes

$$\tilde{\pi}_{k\dot{\alpha}} = 0\,, \qquad k = 3,4,\ldots,n\,. \tag{2.14}$$

Substituting Eq. (2.14) into Eq. (2.9), we have

$$p_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \bar{\tilde{\pi}}^1_{\alpha}\tilde{\pi}_{1\dot{\alpha}} + \bar{\tilde{\pi}}^2_{\alpha}\tilde{\pi}_{2\dot{\alpha}}\,. \tag{2.15}$$

Here, $\tilde{\pi}_{1\dot{\alpha}}\tilde{\pi}_2^{\dot{\alpha}}\big(= -\tilde{\Pi}_{21}\big) \neq 0$ has already been assumed.

B. Case (b)

In case (b), each of the ρ_{k1} does not need to vanish. Combining $\tilde{\Pi}_{21} = 0$, Eq. (2.11), and the $\tilde{\Pi}_{1k} = \tilde{\Pi}_{2k} = 0$ $(k = 3,4,\ldots,n)$ included in Eq. (2.6) together, we ultimately have $\tilde{\Pi}_{ij} = 0$ or, equivalently, $\tilde{\pi}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\tilde{\pi}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} = 0$. This leads to

$$\tilde{\pi}_{i\dot{\alpha}} = \rho_{i1}\tilde{\pi}_{1\dot{\alpha}}\,, \qquad \rho_{i1} \in \mathbb{C}\,,\ \rho_{11} = 1\,. \tag{2.16}$$

Substituting Eq. (2.16) into Eq. (2.9), we obtain

$$p_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = r\bar{\tilde{\pi}}^1_{\alpha}\tilde{\pi}_{1\dot{\alpha}}\,, \qquad r := \sum_{i=1}^{n}|\rho_{i1}|^2 \in \mathbb{R}\,. \tag{2.17}$$

We have indeed derived Eqs. (1.6) and (1.7) by investigating the two cases (a) and (b). Thus the proof of the theorem is complete. ■

In case (a), the squared mass $m^2 = p_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}p^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$ becomes $m^2 = 2\left|\tilde{\Pi}_{21}\right|^2 \neq 0$ and it follows that the corresponding particle is massive, while, in case (b), the squared mass becomes $m^2 = r^2\left|\tilde{\Pi}_{11}\right|^2 = 0$ and it follows that the corresponding particle is massless.

Now we consider the new twistor $\tilde{Z}_i^A := U_i{}^j Z_j^A = (\tilde{\omega}_i^\alpha, \tilde{\pi}_{i\dot{\alpha}})$, with $\tilde{\omega}_i^\alpha := iz^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\tilde{\pi}_{i\dot{\alpha}}$. In case (a), Eq. (2.14) gives $\tilde{Z}_k^A = 0$ $(k = 3, 4, \ldots, n)$, and we see that the n-twistor system turns out to be the two-twistor system described by $\left(\tilde{Z}_1^A, \tilde{Z}_2^A\right)$. In case (b), Eq. (2.16) gives $\tilde{Z}_i^A = \rho_{i1}\tilde{Z}_1^A$, and we see that all the twistors $\tilde{Z}_i^A$ correspond to a single projective twistor defined as the proportionality class $\left[\tilde{Z}_1^A\right] := \left\{\rho\tilde{Z}_1^A \middle| \rho \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}\right\}$. Hence, in case (b), the n-twistor system is described by $\left[\tilde{Z}_1^A\right]$ and turns out to be essentially a one-twistor system. (This statement is consistent with the expression in Eq. (2.17).) As is well known in twistor theory, a projective twistor precisely specifies the configuration of a massless particle. From this fact, we see again that in case (b), the n-twistor system represents a massless particle.

III. REMARKS

It is now clear that in case (a), the $SU(n)$ [or $ISU(n)$] symmetry of the n-twistor system reduces to the $SU(2)$ [or $ISU(2)$] symmetry of a two-twistor system for a massive particle, while, in case (b), the $SU(n)$ [or $ISU(n)$] symmetry is realized in a one-twistor system for a massless particle. Hence, the $SU(n)$ [or $ISU(n)$] symmetry in the case $n \geq 3$ cannot be identified with the internal symmetry of a massive physical system consisting of, e.g., hadorns. For this reason, the idea proposed by Penrose, Perjés, and Hughston fails in the case $n \geq 3$. Of course, there still remains a possibility that the $SU(n)$ [or $ISU(n)$] symmetry will be identified with the internal symmetry of a massless system.

As can be seen from the theorem proved above, the case $n = 2$ is the only case in which a massive particle in $\mathbf{M}$ can be described. In fact, Lagrangian mechanics of a massive spinning particle in $\mathbf{M}$ has been successfully formulated in Refs. [7–17] by using two twistors. In the respective formulations, the $SU(2)$ symmetry in two-twistor system is maintained in the action of a massive spinning particle. It was shown in Ref. [17] that this $SU(2)$ symmetry is a symmetry realized in the particle-antiparticle doublet, not in the lepton doublet consisting

of, e.g., the electron and the electron-neutrino. Therefore the idea proposed by Penrose, Perjés, and Hughston is not valid in the present Lagrangian mechanics based on twistor theory. In addition to the $SU(2)$ symmetry, the action possesses the $U(1)$ symmetry due to a common phase transformation of two twistors. It was pointed out that this symmetry is identified as a symmetry leading to chirality conservation [17].

Note added: After completing an earlier version of this paper, the authors were informed that the same result concerning values of n was obtained by Routh and Townsend using an inequality [16]. Because this inequality is derived by considering the phase space dimension and appropriate constraints including the spin-shell constraints, the result being obtained may be understood to be depending on a specific model. In contrast, our proof of the theorem is purely linear algebraic and is independent of Lagrangian mechanics.

The authors were also informed that the twistor description of a massive particle in anti-de Sitter space has been performed with the use of more than one twistor [24–26]. In this context, it would be of considerable interest to clarify the necessary number of twistors by taking a similar linear algebraic approach. We hope to address this issue in the future.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the anonymous reviewer for his/her useful information on the twistor description of a particle in anti-de Sitter space.

---

[1] R. Penrose, "The twistor programme," Rep. Math. Phys. **12**, 65 (1977).

[2] Z. Perjés, "Twistor variables of relativistic mechanics," Phys. Rev. D **11**, 2031 (1975).

[3] Z. Perjés, "Unitary space of particle internal states," Phys. Rev. D **20**, 1857 (1979).

[4] Z. Perjés, "Perspectives of Penrose theory in particle physics," Rep. Math. Phys. **12**, 193 (1977).

[5] Z. Perjés, "Internal symmetries in twistor theory," Czech. J. Phys. B **32**, 540 (1982).

[6] L. P. Hughston, *Twistors and Particles*, Lecture Notes in Physics Vol. 97 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979).

[7] S. Fedoruk and V. G. Zima, "Bitwistor formulation of massive spinning particle," J. Kharkiv Univ. **585**, 39 (2003), arXiv:hep-th/0308154.

[8] A. Bette, J. A. de Azcárraga, J. Lukierski, and C. Miquel-Espanya, "Massive relativistic free fields with Lorentz spins and electric charges," Phys. Lett. B **595**, 491 (2004), arXiv:hep-th/0405166.

[9] J. A. de Azcárraga, A. Frydryszak, J. Lukierski, and C. Miquel-Espanya, "Massive relativistic particle model with spin from free two-twistor dynamics and its quantization," Phys. Rev. D **73**, 105011 (2006), arXiv:hep-th/0510161.

[10] S. Fedoruk, A. Frydryszak, J. Lukierski, and C. Miquel-Espanya, "Extension of the Shirafuji model for massive particles with spin," Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **21**, 4137 (2006), arXiv:hep-th/0510266.

[11] J. A. de Azcárraga, J. M. Izquierdo, and J. Lukierski, "Supertwistors, massive superparticles and κ-symmetry," J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2009) 041, arXiv:0808.2155 [hep-th].

[12] L. Mezincescu, A. J. Routh, and P. K. Townsend, "Supertwistors and massive particles," Ann. Phys. (Amsterdam) **346**, 66 (2014), arXiv:1312.2768 [hep-th].

[13] S. Fedoruk and J. Lukierski, "Massive twistor particle with spin generated by Souriau-Wess-Zumino term and its quantization," Phys. Lett. B **733**, 309 (2014), arXiv:1403.4127 [hep-th].

[14] J. A. de Azcárraga, S. Fedoruk, J. M. Izquierdo, and J. Lukierski, "Two-twistor particle models and free massive higher spin fields," J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2015) 010, arXiv:1409.7169 [hep-th].

[15] L. Mezincescu, A. J. Routh, and P. K. Townsend, "Twistors and the massive spinning particle," J. Phys. A **49**, 025401 (2016), arXiv:1508.05350 [hep-th].

[16] A. J. Routh and P. K. Townsend, "Twistor form of massive 6D superparticle," J. Phys. A **49**, 025402 (2016), arXiv:1507.05218 [hep-th].

[17] S. Deguchi and S. Okano, "Gauged twistor formulation of a massive spinning particle in four dimensions," Phys. Rev. D **93**, 045016 (2016) [Erratum-ibid. D **93**, 089906(E) (2016)], arXiv:1512.07740 [hep-th].

[18] E. Conde, E. Joung, and K. Mkrtchyan, "Spinor-helicity three-point amplitudes from local cubic interactions," J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2016) 040, arXiv:1605.07402 [hep-th].

[19] T. Shirafuji, "Lagrangian mechanics of massless particles with spin," Prog. Theor. Phys. **70**, 18 (1983).

[20] D. C. Youla, "A normal form for a matrix under the unitary congruence group," Canad. J. Math. **13**, 694 (1961).

[21] B. Zumino, "Normal forms of complex matrices," J. Math. Phys. **3**, 1055 (1962).

[22] H. G. Becker, "On the transformation of a complex skew-symmetric matrix into a real normal form and its application to a direct proof of the Bloch-Messiah theorem," Lett. al Nuovo Cimento **8**, 185 (1973).

[23] O. Napoly, "The diagonal form of the mass matrix of Weyl fermions in real or pseudoreal gauge group representations," Phys. Lett. **106B**, 125 (1981).

[24] P. Claus, J. Rahmfeld, and Y. Zunger, "A simple particle action from a twistor parametrization of AdS_5," Phys. Lett. B **466**, 181 (1999), arXiv:hep-th/9906118.

[25] M. Cederwall, "Geometric construction of AdS twistors," Phys. Lett. B **483**, 257 (2000), arXiv:hep-th/0002216.

[26] A. S. Arvanitakis, A. E. Barns-Graham, and P. K. Townsend, "Anti-de Sitter particles and manifest (super)isometries," arXiv:1608.04380 [hep-th].